

## Number operators in a general quon algebra

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1994 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 27 4737

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/27/14/004>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.68

The article was downloaded on 01/06/2010 at 21:29

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

# Number operators in a general quon algebra

Stjepan Meljanac and Ante Perica

Rudjer Bošković Institute, Bijenička c.54, 41001 Zagreb, Croatia

Received 22 March 1994

**Abstract.** The existence of the number operators  $N_k$  in a general quon algebra is proved and a general construction of  $N_k$  is presented. A new general simple structure of  $N_k$  is proved when  $|q_{ij}| < 1$ ,  $\forall i, j \in S$ . General recurrent relations for the corresponding coefficients are constructed and solved in special cases. These solutions are discussed and a conjecture for a general solution is proposed. Cases in which some or all  $|q_{ij}| = 1$  are discussed.

## 1. Introduction

Quonic intermediate statistics [1, 2], interpolating between Bose and Fermi statistics, are examples of infinite statistics in which any representation of the symmetric group can occur. This offers a possibility for a small violation of the Pauli exclusion principle, at least in non-relativistic theory [2, 3]. A more general quon algebra with infinite statistics, interpolating between Bose, Fermi, para-Bose, para-Fermi and anyonic statistics was proposed and analysed in [4]. The deformation parameter is not global but is an arbitrary function of distance (momentum). In  $2 + 1$  dimensions, anyons represent the limiting case of quon particles [5]. In  $3 + 1$  dimensions, no generalized statistics is possible from dynamics in curved spacetime for a global deformation parameter [6]. However, generalized statistics is possible, at least in principle, for a local deformation parameter [7]. It seems interesting to further analyse a general quon algebra and its consequences, particularly in lower dimensions, for example, in connection with anyons in  $2 + 1$  dimensions.

In this paper we show that number operators exist in the general quon algebra [4], and present a general construction of number operators when  $|q_{ij}| < 1$ . We prove the general simple structure of the number operator [4] and construct recurrent relations for the corresponding coefficients in all orders. Solutions of these equations are discussed (up to third order) and a general solution is conjectured.

## 2. Existence of number operators

Let us start with the general associative quon algebra [4]

$$a_i a_j^\dagger - q_{ij} a_j^\dagger a_i = \delta_{ij} \quad \forall i, j \in S \quad q_{ij}^* = q_{ji} \quad (1)$$

where  $a_i^\dagger$  is the Hermitian conjugate of  $a_i$  (and vice versa). The indices  $i, j$  belong to some lattice  $S$ . No commutation relation between  $a_i$  and  $a_j$  exists if  $|q_{ij}| \neq 1$  for  $\forall i, j \in S$  [1, 2, 4]. The Fock-like space of all states is positive definite [4, 8]. In order to construct a

Fock-like representation of the general quon algebra, we assume that the unique vacuum  $|0\rangle$  (and its dual  $\langle 0|$ ) exists. The vacuum conditions are

$$\langle 0|a_i^\dagger = 0 \quad a_i|0\rangle = 0 \quad \forall i \in S \quad \langle 0|0\rangle = 1. \quad (2)$$

By definition, the operators  $a_i^\dagger$  acting on the vacuum create one-particle states  $a_i^\dagger|0\rangle$ . Normalizing these one-particle states and using equation (1), one obtains (for  $n, m \in N$ )

$$\langle 0|(a_i)^n (a_j^\dagger)^m |0\rangle = [n]_{q_{ii}}! \delta_{nm} \delta_{ij} \quad (3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} [n]! &= [n] \cdot [n-1] \cdots [1] \\ [n]_{q_{ii}} &= \frac{(q_{ii})^n - 1}{q_{ii} - 1}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

We point out that the general matrix element

$$\mathcal{A}_{i_1 \dots i_n; j_1 \dots j_m} = \langle 0|a_{i_n} \cdots a_{i_1} a_{j_1}^\dagger \cdots a_{j_m}^\dagger |0\rangle \quad (5)$$

vanishes unless  $n = m$  and the indices  $(i_1 \cdots i_n)$  and  $(j_1 \cdots j_m)$  are equal up to permutation. The matrix element  $\mathcal{A}_{\pi; \sigma}$ , where  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  are two permutations of  $i_1 \cdots i_n$ , where  $i_j$  are mutually different, is given in [4].

Owing to equations (1) and (2) and to the orthogonality conditions for these states, the  $n$ -particle state is any state of the form  $a_{i_1}^\dagger a_{i_2}^\dagger \cdots a_{i_n}^\dagger |0\rangle$ . Hence, the *total* number operator in the Fock space exists. In the same way, equations (1) and (2) and the orthogonality conditions for these states allow us to define the number operator  $N_k$  counting the  $a_k^\dagger$  operators in any  $n$ -particle state of the form  $a_{i_1}^\dagger a_{i_2}^\dagger \cdots a_{i_n}^\dagger |0\rangle$ ,  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n \in S$ , in the Fock space. Namely,

$$N_k (a_{i_1}^\dagger a_{i_2}^\dagger \cdots a_{i_n}^\dagger |0\rangle) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \delta_{ki_\alpha} (a_{i_1}^\dagger \cdots a_{i_\alpha}^\dagger \cdots a_{i_n}^\dagger |0\rangle). \quad (6)$$

Hence, the number operator  $N_k$ ,  $k \in S$ , exists and is a Hermitian operator by definition. We note that the norm of any linear combination of  $n$ -particle states is positive definite when  $|q_{ij}| < 1$ ,  $\forall i, j \in S$  [4, 8].

### 3. Construction of the number operator

From equation (6) it follows that

$$[N_k, a_l] = -\delta_{kl} a_k \quad \forall k, l \in S \quad [N_k, a_l^\dagger] = \delta_{kl} a_k^\dagger. \quad (7)$$

When  $q_{ij} = +1$  (or  $-1$ ),  $\forall i, j \in S$ , i.e. in the Bose (or Fermi) case, the number operator  $N_k$  is given by  $N_k = a_k^\dagger a_k$ . Hence we start our construction of the operator  $N_k$  when  $|q_{ij}| < 1$ ,  $\forall i, j \in S$ , with the term  $a_k^\dagger a_k$ . The general form of  $N_k$  is given by a series expansion in the creation and annihilation operators,

$$N_k = c_k a_k^\dagger a_k + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{(i_1 \dots i_n)} (X_{ki_1 \dots i_n})^\dagger Y_{ki_1 \dots i_n} \quad (8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} X_{ki_1 \dots i_n} &= \sum_{\pi \in S_{n+1}/S_I} x_{\pi(ki_1 \dots i_n)} \pi \cdot (a_k a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_n}) \\ Y_{ki_1 \dots i_n} &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n+1}/S_I} y_{\sigma(ki_1 \dots i_n)} \sigma \cdot (a_k a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_n}) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where we define

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma \cdot (a_{i_1} a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_{n+1}}) &\doteq a_{i_{\sigma(1)}} a_{i_{\sigma(2)}} \cdots a_{i_{\sigma(n+1)}} \\ \sigma \cdot (i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{n+1}) &\doteq i_{\sigma(1)} i_{\sigma(2)} \cdots i_{\sigma(n+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

The summation in equation (9) has been performed over all different permutations of indices  $ki_1 \cdots i_n$ . Note that, generally, there are  $\frac{(n+1)!}{s_1! \cdots s_a!}$ ,  $(\sum_{\alpha=1}^a s_\alpha = n+1)$  such permutations, where  $s_1 \cdots s_a$  are multiplicities of the indices appearing in the sequence  $ki_1 \cdots i_n$ .  $S_{n+1}$  denotes the symmetric group of  $(n+1)$  elements and  $x_\pi$  and  $y_\sigma$  are complex coefficients.

Note that the particular terms of the form  $X^\dagger \cdot Y$  in the expansion for  $N_k$  are not necessarily Hermitian operators, but for any fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  their sum corresponding to  $n$  is a Hermitian operator.

Inserting  $N_k$ , equation (8), into  $[N_k, a_l] = -\delta_{kl} a_k$  and using equation (1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c_k (a_k^\dagger a_k a_l - q_{lk} a_k^\dagger a_l a_k) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{(i_1 \cdots i_n)} [(X_{ki_1 \cdots i_n})^\dagger Y_{ki_1 \cdots i_n} a_l \\ - a_l (X_{ki_1 \cdots i_n})^\dagger Y_{ki_1 \cdots i_n}] = (c_k - 1) a_k \delta_{kl}. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

All terms in equation (10) are of the type  $a_{i_1}^\dagger \cdots a_{i_n}^\dagger a_{j_1} \cdots a_{j_{n+1}}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The main idea is to collect the terms of the same type and equate them to zero. Using the fact that for  $|q_{ij}| < 1, \forall i, j \in S$ , the set of all monomials  $(a_{i_1}^\dagger \cdots a_{i_n}^\dagger a_{j_1} \cdots a_{j_{n+1}})$ ,  $i_\alpha, j_\beta \in S$ , is linearly independent [1, 2, 4], all the corresponding coefficients in equation (10) should vanish. In this way we end up with a set of linear equations for the corresponding coefficients.

To proceed in this way, we first write

$$a_l (X_{ki_1 \cdots i_n})^\dagger = \widehat{a_l (X_{ki_1 \cdots i_n})}^\dagger + q_{lk} q_{li_1} \cdots q_{li_n} (X_{ki_1 \cdots i_n})^\dagger a_l \tag{11}$$

where the first term on the RHS denotes the sum of all possible contractions and is of the type  $(a^\dagger)^n$ , whereas the second term on the RHS is of the type  $(a^\dagger)^{n+1} a$ . For example,

$$\widehat{a_l (a_1^\dagger \cdots a_n^\dagger)} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n q_{li_\alpha} \cdots q_{li_{\alpha-1}} a_{i_\alpha}^\dagger \cdots a_{i_\alpha}^\dagger \cdots a_{i_n}^\dagger \delta_{li_\alpha} \tag{12}$$

where a slash denotes the omission of the corresponding creation operator. In the lowest order (terms of type  $a$ ) we find from (10) that

$$(c_k - 1) a_k = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad c_k = 1 \quad \forall k \in S \tag{13}$$

and in the next order,

$$a_k^\dagger (a_k a_l - q_{lk} a_l a_k) = \sum_{i_1} \widehat{a_l (X_{ki_1})}^\dagger Y_{ki_1}. \tag{14}$$

Equation (14) can be decomposed into two relations:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{ki_1} &= a_k a_{i_1} - q_{i_1 k} a_{i_1} a_k \\ \widehat{a_l (X_{ki_1})}^\dagger &= a_k^\dagger \delta_{li_1}. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

The solution of the second of equations (15) is

$$(X_{ki_1})^\dagger = \frac{1}{1 - |q_{ki_1}|^2} (Y_{ki_1})^\dagger \quad |q_{ki_1}| \neq 1. \tag{16}$$

If  $|q_{kl}| = 1$ , the associative quon algebra, equation (1), implies the following relation between  $a_k$  and  $a_l$  [4, 7]:

$$a_k a_l - q_{lk} a_l a_k = 0. \tag{17}$$

Equation (14) reduces to

$$\sum_{i_1} a_l(\widehat{X}_{k i_1})^\dagger Y_{k i_1} = 0 \quad Y_{k l} = 0. \tag{18}$$

Hence  $(X_{k l})^\dagger Y_{k l} = 0$ . If  $|q_{k k}| = 1$ , then  $q_{k k} = \pm 1$ , and the same argument applies. The successive recurrent relations for the general  $n$ th order, which follow from equations (10) and (11), are

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_{n+1})} (X_{k i_1 \dots i_n})^\dagger [Y_{k i_1 \dots i_n} a_{i_{n+1}} - q_{i_{n+1} k} q_{i_{n+1} i_1} \dots q_{i_{n+1} i_n} a_{i_{n+1}} Y_{k i_1 \dots i_n}] \delta_{l i_{n+1}} \\ = \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_{n+1})} a_l(\widehat{X}_{k i_1 \dots i_{n+1}})^\dagger Y_{k i_1 \dots i_{n+1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

From equation (19) we obtain two relations when  $|q_{i j}| < 1$ , for  $\forall i, j \in S$ :

$$Y_{k i_1 \dots i_{n+1}} = Y_{k i_1 \dots i_n} a_{i_{n+1}} - q_{i_{n+1} k} q_{i_{n+1} i_1} \dots q_{i_{n+1} i_n} a_{i_{n+1}} Y_{k i_1 \dots i_n} \tag{20}$$

and

$$a_l(\widehat{X}_{k i_1 \dots i_{n+1}})^\dagger = (X_{k i_1 \dots i_n})^\dagger \delta_{l i_{n+1}}. \tag{21}$$

In order to solve equation (21), we use an important relation which can be easily proved by induction,

$$a_l(\widehat{Y}_{k i_1 \dots i_n})^\dagger = \sum_{j=1}^n d_j^{l(k;n)} (Y_{k i_1 \dots i_j \dots i_n})^\dagger \delta_{l i_j} \tag{22}$$

where the slashed  $l_j$  denotes the omission of the index  $i_j$ , and the coefficients  $d_j^{l(k;n)}$  are given by

$$d_j^{l(k;n)} = q_{l i_n} \dots q_{l i_{j+1}} (1 - |q_{l i_{j-1}} \dots q_{l i_1} q_{l k}|^2). \tag{23}$$

Using this result and comparing it with equation (21), we can generally write

$$(X_{k i_1 \dots i_n})^\dagger = \sum_{\pi \in S_n/S_l} c_{k \pi(i_1 \dots i_n), k i_1 \dots i_n} [Y_{k \pi(i_1 \dots i_n)}]^\dagger \tag{24}$$

where the summation is over all different permutations of  $i_1, \dots, i_n$ .

Hence, the general simple structure of the number operator  $N_k$  (when  $|q_{i j}| < 1$ ,  $\forall i, j \in S$ ) is

$$N_k = a_k^\dagger a_k + \sum_{\pi=1}^{\infty} \sum_{(i_1 \dots i_n)} \sum_{\pi \in S_n/S_l} c_{k \pi(i_1 \dots i_n), k i_1 \dots i_n} \cdot [Y_{k \pi(i_1 \dots i_n)}]^\dagger Y_{k i_1 \dots i_n}. \tag{25}$$

Inserting equation (24) into equation (21) and using equations (22),(23), we obtain

$$\frac{n!}{s_1! \dots s_a!} \quad \left( \sum_{\alpha=1}^a s_\alpha = n \right)$$

linear equations for the same number of unknown coefficients  $c_{k \pi(i_1 \dots i_n), k i_1 \dots i_n}$ . They are

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n d_j^{l(k, \Lambda(j, l, \pi)(1 \dots n))} c_{k \Lambda(j, l, \pi)(1 \dots n); k 1 \dots n} = c_{k \pi(1 \dots n-1); k 1 \dots (n-1)} \delta_{l n} \\ l = 1, 2, \dots, n \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(j, l, \pi) &= \varepsilon_j^l \cdot \pi \cdot \eta_l \\ \eta_l(i_1, \dots, i_n) &= (i_1, \dots, i_{l-1}, i_{l+1}, \dots, i_n) = (i'_1, \dots, i'_{n-1}) \\ \varepsilon_j^l \cdot \pi(i'_1, \dots, i'_{n-1}) &= (i'_{\pi(1)}, \dots, i'_{\pi(j-1)}, i'_j, i'_{\pi(j+1)}, \dots, i'_{\pi(n-1)}). \end{aligned}$$

(1, 2, ... n in equation (26) denote abbreviations for  $i_1 \cdots i_n \in S$ ). The coefficients  $d_j^{l(k;n)}$  are defined by equation (23).

The following hermiticity relation holds:

$$c_{k\pi(i_1 \cdots i_n), ki_1 \cdots i_n}^* = c_{ki_1 \cdots i_n, k\pi(i_1 \cdots i_n)}. \tag{27}$$

#### 4. Special cases

Let us write equations (26) and the corresponding solutions for  $n = 1, 2, 3$  and for  $|q_{ij}| < 1$ ,  $\forall i, j \in S$ .

(i) Case  $n = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 c_{ki_1, ki_1} &= c_k = 1 \\ (1 - |q_{ki_1}|^2) c_{ki_1, ki_1} &= 1 \\ c_{ki_1, ki_1} &= \frac{1}{1 - |q_{ki_1}|^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

(ii) Case  $n = 2$

When  $i_1 \neq i_2$ , equations (26) are

$$\begin{aligned} d_2^{2(k,12)} c_{k12, k12} + d_1^{2(k,21)} c_{k21, k12} &= c_{k1, k1} \\ d_1^{1(k,12)} c_{k12, k12} + d_2^{1(k,21)} c_{k21, k12} &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

where  $d_j^{l(k, i_1 i_2)}$  are given by equation (23); they are

$$\begin{aligned} d_1^{1(k,12)} &= q_{12}(1 - |q_{1k}|^2) \\ d_1^{2(k,21)} &= q_{21}(1 - |q_{2k}|^2) \\ d_2^{1(k,21)} &= (1 - |q_{1k}q_{12}|^2) \\ d_2^{2(k,12)} &= (1 - |q_{2k}q_{21}|^2). \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

The solution of the equation (29) is

$$\begin{aligned} c_{ki_1 i_2, ki_1 i_2} &= \frac{1 - |q_{i_1 i_2} q_{ki_1}|^2}{\Delta_3} = \mathcal{A}_{ki_1 i_2, ki_1 i_2}^{-1} = \mathcal{A}_{k, id; k, id}^{-1}(k, i_1, i_2) \\ c_{ki_2 i_1, ki_1 i_2} &= -\frac{q_{i_1 i_2}(1 - |q_{ki_1}|^2)}{\Delta_3} = \mathcal{A}_{ki_1 i_2, ki_2 i_1}^{-1} = \mathcal{A}_{k, id; k, \pi(1 \leftrightarrow 2)}^{-1}(k, i_1, i_2) \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

where

$$\Delta_3 = (1 - |q_{i_1 i_2}|^2)(1 - |q_{ki_1}|^2)(1 - |q_{i_1 i_2} q_{ki_1} q_{ki_2}|^2) \neq 0. \tag{32}$$

If  $i_1 = i_2$ , equations (26) reduces to only one equation for  $c_{kii, kii}$ :

$$(d_2^{i(k, ii)} + d_1^{i(k, ii)}) c_{kii, kii} = c_{ki, ki} \tag{33}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} d_2^{i(k, ii)} &= 1 - |q_{ik} q_{ii}|^2 \\ d_1^{i(k, ii)} &= q_{ii}(1 - |q_{ik}|^2). \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Then

$$c_{kii, kii} = \frac{1}{(1 + q_{ii})(1 - q_{ii} |q_{ik}|^2)(1 - |q_{ik}|^2)} = \mathcal{A}_{kii, kii}^{-1}. \tag{35}$$

(iii) Case  $n = 3$

Here we give solutions of equations (26) when  $q_{ij} = q, \forall i, j \in S, q \in \mathbb{R}$ .

If  $i_1 \neq i_2 \neq i_3 \neq i_1$ , they are

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_{k123,k123} &= \frac{(1+q^2)^2(1+q^4) - q^4}{\Delta_4} = \mathcal{A}_{k123,k123}^{-1} \\
 c_{k231,k123} &= c_{k312,k123} = -\frac{q^4}{\Delta_4} = \mathcal{A}_{k123,k231}^{-1} \\
 c_{k132,k123} &= -\frac{q(1+q^2)(1+q^4)}{\Delta_4} = \mathcal{A}_{k123,k213}^{-1} \\
 c_{k213,k123} &= -\frac{q(1+q^6)}{\Delta_4} = \mathcal{A}_{k123,k132}^{-1} \\
 c_{k321,k123} &= \frac{q^3(1+q^2)}{\Delta_4} = \mathcal{A}_{k123,k321}^{-1}
 \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

where

$$\Delta_4 = (1 - q^2)(1 - q^6)(1 - q^{12}). \tag{37}$$

If two of the indices  $i_1 i_2 i_3$  are equal and the third is different, equations (26) reduce to three equations for  $c_{kij,kij}, c_{kiji,kij}$  and  $c_{kjii,kij}$ . If the indices  $i_1 i_2 i_3$  are equal, there is only one equation for  $c_{kiii,kiii}$ .

For general  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $q_{ij} = q, \forall i, j \in S, q \in \mathbb{R}$ , the coefficients  $d_j^{l(k;n)}$  are

$$d_j^{l(k;n)} = q^{n-j}(1 - q^{2j}).$$

The following symmetry relation can be proved:

$$c_{k\pi \cdot (i_1 \dots i_n), k i_1 \dots i_n} = c_{k\pi^{-1} \cdot (i_1 \dots i_n), k i_1 \dots i_n} \tag{38}$$

and the following sum rule holds:

$$\sum_{\pi \in S_n / St} c_{k\pi \cdot (i_1 \dots i_n), k i_1 \dots i_n} = \frac{(1 - q)^{n+1}}{1 - q^{n+1}} \prod_{\alpha=1}^n \frac{1}{(1 - q^\alpha)^2} = c_{\underbrace{k i \dots i}_n, k i \dots i}_n \tag{39}$$

### 5. Concluding remarks

We conclude with a few remarks.

(a) When  $|q_{ij}| < 1, \forall i, j \in S$ , our general construction leads to the recurrent relations (26). They have a unique non-trivial solution owing to the fact that the number operator  $N_k$  exists and that the states  $a_{\pi(i_1)}^\dagger \dots a_{\pi(i_n)}^\dagger |0\rangle$  are linearly independent for different permutations  $\pi \in S_n$  [4].

If  $|q_{ij}| = 1$  for some  $i, j \in S$ , equations (26) have no solution, i.e. the determinant of the system vanishes. For example, consider equation (31) when  $|q_{i_1 i_2}| = 1$  or  $|q_{ik}| = 1$ . However, the number operator  $N_k$  still exists. The explanation is simple. We remind ourselves that the commutation relation between  $a_i$  and  $a_j$  appears when  $|q_{ij}| = 1$ , namely,  $|(a_i a_j - q_{ji} a_j a_i)^\dagger |0\rangle|^2 = 0, [4, 7]$ . Hence there is a reduction in the number of linearly independent states and our recurrent relations (26) are not valid in this case (solutions diverge). Nevertheless, in the limit when  $|q_{ij}| \rightarrow 1$  and the determinant of the system (26) tends to zero, any matrix element of the operator

$$\sum_{\pi \in S_n / St} c_{k\pi \cdot (i_1 \dots i_n), k i_1 \dots i_n} [Y_{k\pi \cdot (i_1 \dots i_n)}]^\dagger Y_{k(i_1 \dots i_n)} \tag{40}$$

is finite. Moreover, in the limit when  $|q_{ij}| \rightarrow 1$  for every pair of given indices  $k, i_1, \dots, i_n$ , every matrix element of operator (40) tends to zero, and in this case we can omit all such operators (40) in expansion (25).

When  $|q_{ij}| = 1, \forall i, j \in S$ , (anyonic-like oscillators), the commutation relations are  $a_i a_j - q_{ij}^* a_j a_i = 0, \forall i, j \in S$  and the number operator is simply  $N_k = a_k^\dagger a_k$  [4, 7].

(b) Analysing the solutions of equations (26), we have found [4] that the coefficients  $c_{k\pi \cdot (i_1 \dots i_n), k i_1 \dots i_n}$  can be written as

$$c_{k\pi \cdot (i_1 \dots i_n), k i_1 \dots i_n} = \mathcal{A}_{k, i_1 \dots i_n; k\sigma(i_1 \dots i_n)}^{-1} \tag{41}$$

where  $\mathcal{A}$  is defined by equation (5) and  $\pi, \sigma \in S_n/St$ . Note that  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{A}^{-1}$  are Hermitian matrices. Using the general structure of equations (1), (2), (5), one can show that the following relations hold:

$$\mathcal{A}_{k, id; k, \pi}^{-1}(k, i_1, \dots, i_n) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \mathcal{A}_{k, id; k, \sigma}^{-1}(k, i_1, \dots, i_n) \tag{42}$$

where  $\pi \in S_n/St$  and sum runs over  $s_1! \dots s_n!$ , ( $\sum_{\alpha=1}^n s_\alpha = n$ ) different permutations  $\sigma, \sigma \in S_n$ , which satisfy  $\sigma(i_1 \dots i_n) = \pi(i_1 \dots i_n)$ .

We conjecture that formula (41) is true in all orders and represents a general solution of equation (26) [4], when  $|q_{ij}| < 1, \forall i, j \in S$ .

(c) We shall summarize the main results of this paper. We have proved the existence of the number operator  $N_k$  in the general quon algebra. We have presented a general construction of  $N_k$  when  $|q_{ij}| < 1, \forall i, j \in S$ . We have proved a new general simple structure of  $N_k$ , equation (25), and constructed general recurrent relations for the corresponding coefficients, equations (26). When  $q_{ij} = q, -1 < q < 1$ , our general construction differs from that proposed by Zagier [8], Stanciu [9] and Møller [10].

Our results are in agreement with those of [8–10]. For example, the result of Zagier can be written as

$$N_k = a_k^\dagger a_k + \frac{1}{1 - q^2} \sum_i [Y_{k,i}]^\dagger Y_{k,i} + \frac{1}{(1 - q^2)(1 - q^6)} \sum_{i_1, i_2} [(1 + q^2)Y_{k, i_1 i_2} - q Y_{k, i_2 i_1}]^\dagger Y_{k, i_1 i_2}. \tag{43}$$

(d) Finally, let us consider non-relativistic field theory of quons [2]. To construct the operators for the energy, momentum, angular momentum etc in terms of the annihilation and creation operators, one needs to construct a set of number operators,  $N_i$ , which obey the usual commutation relations (7). Then the energy operator, for example, is

$$E = \sum_i \epsilon_i N_i \tag{44}$$

where  $\epsilon_i$  is the single particle energy.

Transition operators  $N_{ij}$

$$[N_{ij}, a_k] = -a_i \delta_{jk} \tag{45}$$

can be constructed in the same way as we did for the number operators. Transition operators for infinite statistics are discussed in [2].

Usual number operators  $N_i$  (7) exist if there are no relations between  $a_i^m, a_j^n, m, n \in N$ . For general quon algebra,  $|q_{ij}| < 1, \forall i, j \in S$ , there are no such relations and hence number operators  $N_i$  exist.

Let us denote a quon field in position space by  $\psi(x)$ . To write a Hamiltonian for two-body interactions of identical particles which violate (para)Fermi, (para)Bose and anyonic statistics (by a possible small amount), it is convenient to work in position space. Then the



analogue of the transition operator is the off-diagonal one-body operator  $\rho_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}')$  which obeys the relations

$$\begin{aligned} [\rho_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}'), \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{y})] &= \delta(\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{y})\psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) \\ \rho_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y})|0\rangle &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

The operator  $\rho_1$  suffices for the one-body operators, such as the kinetic energy; however, for two-body operators relevant to potential interactions one needs an analogous operator  $\rho_2(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y}')$  which obeys [2]:

$$[\rho_2(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y}'), \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{z})] = \delta(\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{z})\psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x})\rho_1(\mathbf{y}; \mathbf{y}') + \delta(\mathbf{y}' - \mathbf{z})\psi^\dagger(\mathbf{y})\rho_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}'). \quad (47)$$

Our method for constructing number operators  $N_i$  can be extended to  $\rho_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}')$  and  $\rho_2(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y}')$  operators as well.

### Acknowledgments

We thank M Mileković and D Svrtan for useful discussions and for reading the manuscript. This work was supported by the Scientific Fund of the Republic of Croatia.

### References

- [1] Greenberg O W 1990 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64** 705
- [2] Greenberg O W 1991 *Phys. Rev. D* **43** 4111  
Greenberg O W 1992 *Physica* **180** 419  
Greenberg O W 1993 Quons, an interpolation between boson and Fermi oscillators *University of Maryland Preprint* no 93-097
- [3] Mohapatra R N 1990 *Phys. Lett.* **242B** 107  
Greenberg O W, Greenberger D M and Greenberg T V 1993 (Para)Bosons, (Para)Fermions, quons and other beasts in the menagerie of particle statistics *University of Maryland Preprint* no 93-203
- [4] Meljanac S and Perica A 1993 Bose, Fermi, para-Bose, para-Fermi, and anyonic statistics: the R-matrix approach to associative algebras *Preprint* RBI-TH-10/93
- [5] Bardek V, Dorešić M and Meljanac S 1993 Anyons as quon particles (to appear in *Phys. Rev. D*)  
Bardek V, Dorešić M and Meljanac S 1993 Example of q-deformed field theory (to appear in *Int. J. Mod. Phys.*)
- [6] Goodison J W and Toms D J 1993 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71** 3240
- [7] Bardek V, Meljanac S and Perica A 1994 Generalized statistics and dynamics in curved spacetime *Preprint* RBI-TH -1/94
- [8] Zagier D 1992 *Commun. Math. Phys.* **147** 199
- [9] Stanciu S 1992 *Commun. Math. Phys.* **147** 211
- [10] Møller J S 1993 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **26** 4643